

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/448,927	•	11/24/1999	STEPHEN T. WELLINGHOFF	BTEC-9643	5618	
321	7590	04/03/2006		EXAMINER		
	GER POWI		ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID			
ONE ME		TAN SQUARE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ST LOU	IS, MO 63	102	1714			
				DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/448,927	WELLINGHOFF ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	•	
Joseph D. Anthony	1714		

	Joseph D. Anthony	1714	·						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing r than three months after the mailing dat ).	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 March 2006</u>. A bried the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 y must be filed within the time perior	11.37(e)), to avoid dis nd set forth in 37 CFR	missal of the 41.37(a).						
3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	ecause						
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			•						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	<u> </u>								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		_	•						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and</u> 38-50.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12-37 and 51-78</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and						
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	□ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
	1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)							
3. ☐ Other:	f	, Bed D. Kin	Theen						
		Joseph D. Anthony Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714							
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714	3/27/00						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments to the independent claims limiting the anions to a specific markush group, and limiting the gas intended to be generated, when the claimed compositions are exposed to electromagnetic energy, to a specific markush group, are deemed to raise new issues that would required further consideration and possibly search.